

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/825,841	FARTASH, ARJANG	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Michael La Villa	1775	

**All Participants:**

(1) Michael La Villa.

(2) Mr. Coulman, Attny.

**Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 20 September 2005

**Time:** 11:30 a.m.

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

NONE

**Claims discussed:**

54-56

**Prior art documents discussed:**

NONE

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant explained that Claims 54-56 do not pertain to an apparatus for practicing the method of Claims 1 et seq. Rather, they are analogous to Claims 50 et seq., except that they are drafted in means plus function format; they are articles that could be produced by the method of Claims 1 et seq.



MICHAEL E. LAVILLA PH.D.  
PRIMARY EXAMINER